



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/417,016	10/12/1999	SHIGEHIRO MASUJI	P63935US0	6885

7590 01/02/2004

JACOBSON PRICE HOLMAN & STERN  
PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
400 SEVENTH STREET N W  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER
----------

NGUYEN, FRANCIS N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2674

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/417,016

Applicant(s)

MASUJI ET AL.

Examiner

FRANCIS NGUYEN

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 April 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-20 and 28-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-20 and 28-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_                      6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2674

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Amendment*

1. The amendment filed on 4/10/2003 is entered.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 10-20, 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al. ( US Patent 6,069,609) .

As to **claim 10**, Ishida et al. teaches an apparatus for processing a video signal comprising :

a pattern generator ( dither pattern generator 13 shown in figure 10A) to generate a plurality of dither pattern signals, carrying positional data indicating locations of dither coefficients,  
a coefficient generator to generate a dither coefficient signal carrying the dither coefficients arranged in a matrix ( 2x2 dither matrices, column 20, lines 51-57) for each gradation level of an input video signal ( 28 ranges within 256-shade grayscale shown in figure 18) in response to one of the pattern signal, and

an adder ( adder 12, figure 10A, column 10, line 50 ) to add the coefficient signal to the input video signal ( column 12, lines 61-62), thus outputting a video signal to be supplied to the display panel ( PDP 21 shown in figure 11), wherein the adder adds the coefficient signal to the input video signal at gradation levels equal to or lower than a predetermined level( optimum

Art Unit: 2674

value of A according to 256-shade grayscale shown in figure 19, threshold for each dither pattern is zero to 10, column 12, lines 66-67).

Ishida et al. teaches weighting applied to each dither coefficient ( column 13, lines 57-65)

However, Ishida et al. fails to expressly teach the lower the gradation level, and the larger the

weighting . Note that Ishida et al. teaches dither value to deal with a shade that may easily

flicker and lighting order of subframes ( column 15, lines 36-39), that human eye senses flicker

at low brightness levels , at high brightness levels, the subframes to be turned ON vary little (

column 19, lines 7-10) . It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention to utilize the apparatus of Ishida et al., then apply more weight for

dithering to those ranges of low gradation levels than those ranges of high gradation levels to

**reduce sensed flicker at low brightness levels**, as taught by Ishida et al. ( column 19, lines 7-

10) and to **produce a smooth display characteristic** without flatness or flicker, as taught by

Ishida et al. ( column 25, lines 1-5).

As to **claim 11**, Ishida et al. discloses an apparatus for processing a video signal ( image processing device, abstract) comprising

a generator ( dither pattern generator 13 shown in figure 10A) to generate a plurality of dither

coefficient signals, each coefficient signal carrying dither coefficients arranged in a matrix( 2x2

dither matrices, column 20, lines 51-57);

an adder ( adder 12, figure 10A, column 10, line 50 ) to add one of the coefficient signals to

signal components at predetermined gradation levels of the input video signal ( 256-shade

grayscale as shown in figure 19), thus output a video signal.

Art Unit: 2674

Ishida et al. does teach 8-bit input signal for each color RGB ( column 8, lines 2-3, column 11, lines 28-29), and grayscale levels in figure 19, dither pattern generator 23 shown in figure 11 receiving RGB input signals but Ishida et al. fails to expressly teach a detector to detect color gradation levels of an input video signal. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus of Ishida et al. then provide a color detector function to the dither pattern generator to obtain the apparatus Ishida et al. modified because it would help to select the optimal dither coefficient based on gradation.

As to **claim 12**, wherein each coefficient signal carrying positive and negative coefficients (+A and -A, figure 15), the sum total of the coefficients being zero ( sum  $A-A-A+A$  is zero , figure 15).

As to **claim 13**, Ishida et al. teaches an apparatus for processing a video signal comprising:

a coefficient generator to generate a plurality of dither coefficient pattern signals ( dither pattern generator shown in figure 10A ) according to color gradation levels (8-bit input signal for each color RGB, column 8, lines 2-3 , gray scale levels in figure 19),

a selector ( selector 32/34 shown in figure 27, column 17, lines 20-22 ) to select one of the dither coefficient from each pattern signal with respect to each dot matrix,

an adjuster to adjust the dither coefficients carried by the output pattern signal so that the sum total of the dither coefficients is zero ( since sum  $A-A-A+A$  is zero , figure 15, column 11, lines 4-5, therefore adjuster to adjust the dither coefficients is inherent),

Art Unit: 2674

an adder ( adder 12, figure 10A, column 10, line 50 ) to add the dither coefficient-adjusted pattern signal to the input video signal, thus outputting a video signal carrying the data to be supplied to the display panel ( PDP 21 shown in figure 11).

As to **claim 14**, wherein weighting is applied to the dither coefficients carried by each pattern signal ( figure 19 show optimum value of A depending on gray-scale levels). However, Ishida et al. fails to expressly teach the lower the gradation the larger the weighting. Note that Ishida et al. teaches dither value to deal with a shade that may easily flicker and lighting order of subframes ( column 15, lines 36-39), that human eye senses flicker at low brightness levels , at high brightness levels, the subframes to be turned ON vary little ( column 19, lines 7-10) . It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus of Ishida et al., then apply more weight for dithering to those ranges of low gradation levels than those ranges of high gradation levels to **reduce sensed flicker at low brightness levels**, as taught by Ishida et al. ( column 19, lines 7-10) and to **produce a smooth display characteristic** without flatness or flicker, as taught by Ishida et al. ( column 25, lines 1-5).

As to **claim 15**, wherein the selector selects one dither coefficient for each predetermined unit of the data carried by the video signal or according to locations of the pixels on the display panel ( selector selects one of the dither types, column 22, lines 50-51).

As to **claim 16**, wherein the dither coefficients are arranged in an (nxm) matrix, where n and m being a positive integer larger than zero ( figure 17 where n=m=2).

Art Unit: 2674

As to **claim 17**, wherein each pattern signal carries an even number of the coefficients addition of the coefficients in each of the two groups yielding zero when the coefficients are divided in the two groups ( figure 15 shows groups +A and -A).

As to **claim 18**, Ishida et al. fails to teach dither matrix wherein each pattern signal carries an odd number of the coefficients, the coefficient located at the center of the matrix being zero. However, since figure 15 shows the sum of dither coefficients being zero and Ishida et al. discloses that any matrix is employable ( column 13, lines 1-2), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art , in case of a (  $n \times m$  ) matrix where n is different from m, to easily determine value of dither coefficient at the center of matrix to keep sum of all dither coefficients being zero.

As to **claim 19**, the apparatus according to claim 16, where n and m are equal to each other ( figure 15 where  $n=m=2$ ).

As to **claim 20**, wherein each pattern signal carries the same number of the positive and the negative coefficients ( figure 15 shows groups +A and -A).

As to **claim 28**, Ishida et al. teaches a method of processing a video signal comprising the steps of

generating a plurality of dither pattern signals( **dither pattern generator 13 shown in figure 10A generating dither pattern signals**), each pattern signal carrying positional data indicating locations of dither coefficients on pixels arranged in a matrix on a display panel,

Art Unit: 2674

generating a dither coefficient signal ( **2x2 dither matrices, column 20, lines 51-57**) carrying the dither coefficients arranged in a matrix for each gradation level of an input video signal in response to one of the pattern signal( **28 ranges within 256-shade grayscale shown in figure 18**),

adding ( adder 12, figure 10A, column 10, line 50 ) the dither coefficient signal to the input video signal( column 12, lines 61-62), thus outputting a video signal to be supplied to the display panel ( PDP 21 shown in figure 11) wherein the addition step comprises the step of adding the coefficient signal to the input video signal at gradation levels equal to or lower than a predetermined level( optimum value of A according to 256-shade grayscale shown in figure 19, threshold for each dither pattern is zero to 10, column 12, lines 66-67).

As to **claim 29**, Ishida et al. teaches a method of processing a video signal comprising the steps of :

generating a plurality of dither coefficient pattern signals ( dither pattern generator shown in figure 10A ) according to color gradation levels (8-bit input signal for each color RGB, column 8, lines 2-3 , gray scale levels in figure 19),

selecting one of the dither coefficient from each pattern signal with respect to each dot matrix( selector 32/34 shown in figure 27, column 17, lines 20-22 )

adjusting the dither coefficients carried by the output pattern signal so that the sum total of the dither coefficients is zero ( sum  $A-A+A+A$  is zero , figure 15, column 11, lines 4-5),



Art Unit: 2674

adding the dither coefficient-adjusted pattern signal to the input video signal( adder 12, figure 10A, column 10, line 50, column 12, lines 61-62 ) , thus outputting a video signal carrying the data to be supplied to the display panel ( PDP 21 shown in figure 11).

As to **claim 30**, Ishida et al. teaches the step of applying weighting to the dither coefficients carried by each pattern signal ( figure 19 show optimum value of A depending on gray-scale levels), but fails to teach the lower the gradation, the larger the weighting. Note that Ishida et al. teaches dither value to deal with a shade that may easily flicker and lighting order of subframes ( column 15, lines 36-39), that human eye senses flicker at low brightness levels , at high brightness levels, the subframes to be turned ON vary little ( column 19, lines 7-10) . It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the apparatus of Ishida et al., then apply more weight for dithering to those ranges of low gradation levels than those ranges of high gradation levels to **reduce sensed flicker at low brightness levels**, as taught by Ishida et al. ( column 19, lines 7-10) and to **produce a smooth display characteristic** without flatness or flicker, as taught by Ishida et al. ( column 25, lines 1-5).

As to **claim 31**, wherein the selection step comprises the step of selecting one dither coefficient for each predetermined unit of the data carried by the video signal or according to locations of the pixels on the display panel ( selector selects one of the dither types, column 22, lines 50-51).

As to **claim 32**, wherein the pattern signals are generated so that each pattern signal carries an even number of the coefficients, addition of the coefficients in each of the two groups yielding

Art Unit: 2674

zero when the coefficients are divided into the two groups, both groups including the same number of the coefficients ( figure 15 shows groups +A and -A).

As to **claim 33**, Ishida et al. fails to teach dither matrix wherein each pattern signal carries an odd number of the coefficients, the coefficient located at the center of the matrix being zero. However, since figure 15 shows the sum of dither coefficients being zero and Ishida et al. discloses that any matrix is employable ( column 13, lines 1-2), it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art , in case of a ( nxm ) matrix where n is different from m, to easily determine value of dither coefficient at the center of matrix to keep sum of all dither coefficients being zero.

#### ***Response to arguments***

4. There is no arguments in the applicant' s response ( paper # 7).

#### ***Conclusion***

5. The prior art made of record but not relied upon is pertinent to Applicant's disclosure

US Patent	Choi et al.	5,495,346
US Patent	Barkans et al.	5,905,504
US Patent	Rao et al.	5,640,249
US Patent	Shigeta	6,008,793
US Patent	Priem et al.	5,734,369

Reference Choi et al. is made of record as it discloses an element generator for a dither matrix.

Art Unit: 2674

Reference Barkans et al. is made of record as it discloses a system and method for dithering and quantizing image data .

Reference Rao et al. is made of record as it discloses an image processing apparatus using derived ordered dither matrix.

Reference Shigeta is made of record as it discloses a drive apparatus comprising a dithering circuit.

Reference Priem et al. is made of record as it discloses a method and apparatus for dithering images .

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **FRANCIS N NGUYEN** whose telephone number is **703 308-8858**. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached at 703 305-4579.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314 ( for Technology Center 2600 only)**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor ( Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



FRANCIS N NGUYEN

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

FN

December 23rd, 2003



RICHARD LUCIPE  
SUPERVISOR  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600